

Socio-cultural Influences and Management that Determine Sanitation Facilities Use in the Informal Settlements

MUNALA, Gerryshom
 Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology,
 P. O. Box 62000, 00200 Nairobi. Kenya
 Corresponding email: gerryshom@yahoo.co.uk

All figures on my paper.




Figure 1: Detailed system structure/model of societal sanitation approach (Source: Santosh and Monti, 2010)



Figure 2 a – b: Shared single and community-shared multiple pit latrines




Figure 3: Proportions of respondents using the various sanitation options as their primary choice



Figure 4 a - b: Construction process of the bio-centre




Figure 5 a – b: Ground floor and section of a bio-centre




Figure 6: Acceptance of sanitation facility.

Table 1: Gender distribution in management groups of sanitation and water supply points in Kibera, Kibagare and Bandani

	Kibera					Kibagare	Bandani
	Sanitation Point	Water & Sanitation Point	Water & Sanitation Point	Water & Sanitation Point	Water & Sanitation Point	Sanitation Point	Water & Sanitation Point
Name of the Management Group	MUVI GOROFANI	TOSHA-2	KIDYOT	LINDI USAFI	JASHO LETU	HAKI ZETU	
Number of Members of the Group	52	80	66	20	70	42	10
Number of Female Group Members	10	80	25	13	45	22	5
Percentage of Female Group Members	19 %	100 %	38 %	65 %	64 %	52 %	50 %
Gender of the Chairperson	male	Female	male	male	male	female	male